



# 1993 Environmental Ratings of the Oregon Legislature



Oregon League of  
Conservation Voters

## Board of Directors\*

**Don Corson**  
*Chair*

**Joel Ario**  
*OSPIRG*

**Blair Batson**  
*1,000 Friends of Oregon*

**Jim Beard**  
*Oregon Environmental Council*

**Rick Brown**  
*National Wildlife Federation*

**Sally Cross**  
*Oregon Natural Resources Council*

**Jim Desmond**  
*Trust for Public Land*

**Don Francis**  
*NW Environmental Advocates*

**Fred Huette**  
*Solar Energy Association of Oregon*

**Doug Myers**  
*WaterWatch of Oregon*

**Lola Sorenson**  
*Citizens Action Network*

**Raymond Nolan**  
*Cape Arago Audubon*

**Sara Vickerman**  
*Defenders of Wildlife*

**Bettina von Hagen**  
*Ecotrust*

**Jim Conley**

**Judy Crockett**

**Eveleen Henry**

**Anita Johnson**

**Rick Kronewitter**

**Doug Marker**

**Scott Pratt**

**Greg Skillman**

**Mary Zaborowski**

*\* Organizations listed for identification purposes only*

**Anna Goldrich**  
*Executive Director*

**Vito Zdanys**  
*Canvass Manager*

**John Odum**  
*Field Manager*

**Kathie Titzler**  
*Business Manager*



*Printed on recycled paper with soy inks*

*Design and Production  
Chimera & Company*



## Oregon League of Conservation Voters

### About the OLCV

Environmentalists made major strides in the United States during the past 20 years. Literally millions of Americans consider themselves to be environmentalists. They recycle in their homes, they contribute to environmental causes, and polls show they are willing to support increased environmental protection even at some short term economic costs.

BUT... while environmentalists generally win the battle of public opinion, we very often lose the political wars that take place in Congress and the Oregon Legislature.

We don't lose because Oregon voters don't care about environmental protection, we lose because the mining, grazing, timber, utility, oil and gas, automobile, real estate, and business interests beat us at the political game. Through a combination of lobbying and large political contributions, these industries often hold our legislators captive to their narrow interests.

That's why environmentalists from around Oregon joined together over 20 years ago to form the Oregon League of Conservation Voters.

They created OLCV because most environmental organizations, like the Oregon Natural Resources Council, the Oregon Environmental Council, Greenpeace, 1,000 Friends of Oregon, the Nature Conservancy, National Audubon Society, Oregon Trout and others, are non-profit, tax-exempt organizations. Legally they cannot be involved in elections, in exposing politicians or in holding them accountable before the voters.

The Oregon League of Conservation Voters does not lobby legislators, we don't hire scientists and economists, and we don't file lawsuits. We have a simple but important mission: to protect Oregon's environment by electing pro-environment candidates.

We have a record of success. In 1992, 69 percent of the candidates we endorsed won. To increase that percentage in the 1994 elections, we need your support. We'll do it by:

- Recruiting strong environmental candidates to run for office;
- Providing environmental candidates with the financial and technical resources they need to win; and by;
- Informing voters about the candidates that deserve their votes.

If we are successful in mobilizing the thousands of environmentalists in Oregon to vote "green," believe me, we'll have the full attention of politicians once they're in office.

I hope you'll join us in this unprecedented effort to mobilize Oregon's environmentalists to political action in 1994. Our environment and our future depend on it.

Sincerely,

**Anna Goldrich**  
*Executive Director*

*P.S. For over 20 years, OLCV has been dedicated to political action for the environment. Now more than ever, we need your help in fighting powerful special interests like the so-called "wise-use" movement - special interests that put short term profits ahead of environmental protection.*

# Overview of the Legislative session

This was a frustrating legislative session for people who care about Oregon's environment.

Anti-environment legislators held a firm majority in the House and had a strong foothold in the Senate (though still in the minority there).

It was a session marked by gridlock over hard budget decisions and partisan political posturing.

Lobbyists from the timber, mining, agriculture, business, development and utility industries were very visible in the Capitol. They were confident that with the Oregon House led by Speaker Larry Campbell and key committees run by other friends of the "Wise-Use" movement they could turn the clock backward on environmental protection.

They targeted the following areas for "reform":

- weakening Oregon's landmark land-use planning system to allow increased California-style development in many of our open spaces,
- smaller budgets and limited authority for natural resource agencies to discourage enforcement of the laws that govern environmental protection,
- limiting Oregon to regulations, penalties and fees that are no stronger than those of the federal government,
- weakening Oregon's Endangered Species Act and urging Congress to do the same to the federal Act.

Speaker Larry Campbell's decision to split up the Joint House and Senate Ways and Means Committee meant that most of the time and effort this session went into hashing out the differences between House and Senate budgets.

By the time the major budget battles were settled, it was the longest session in Oregon's history and there wasn't much energy left to expend on dismantling our environmental laws.

Oregon's landmark land-use planning law did take a hit when the Legislature passed a bill that will open up some of Oregon's farm and forest land to residential development.

The Senate softened the harsh attack from the House, and ended up passing a bill that they hoped would take some of the political pressure off this issue. Nonetheless, the final version of the bill included some troubling "right-to-farm" (or what we call "right-to-harm") provisions that environmentalists opposed.

State natural resource agencies took a verbal beating, but in the end got budgets without drastic cuts.

But the real story is what didn't happen this session. Despite the fact that the state is facing record budget shortfalls, the House failed to eliminate a tax credit for pollution control devices. That means Oregon taxpayers will continue to pay \$20 to \$40 million a year directly to companies like Waste Management Inc., simply for doing what they are required to do by law.

Environmentalists pushed bills to protect our groundwater, to bring our water laws up to date, to alleviate traffic and air quality problems in urban areas, and to expand our bottle bill. Unfortunately most of these important initiatives received only a token hearing. Some did not get heard at all.

Despite the overall frustration with the Legislature, some people deserve our thanks, and some small steps forward deserve mention.

During the final moments of the session, several worthy projects received funds from the lottery, including \$5 million for high speed rail, \$2.5 million for the Forest Trust Fund, \$10 million for watershed health, \$1 million for rehabilitation and development of state parks, and \$250,000 for a study on protection of salmon spawning grounds. We have Representative Tony Van Vliet and Senator Cliff Trow to thank for holding firm on these important projects.

Senate President Bill Bradbury helped by sending most anti-environment bills passed by the House to committees chaired by Senator Dick Springer and Senator Ron Cease. Both of these pro-environment Senators helped by keeping the worst bills from coming to the floor of the Senate.

While most environmentalists viewed the House as a lost cause this session, we do want to recognize several new Representatives who proved to be strong supporters of environmental protection — Representatives Cynthia Wooten, Kate Brown, Frank Shields, and George Eighmey.

## Honor Roll

### Senate

Bill Bradbury (Bandon)	100%
Ron Cease (Portland)	100%
Joyce Cohen (Lake Oswego)	100%
Joan Dukes (Astoria)	100%
Shirley Gold (Portland)	100%
Grattan Kerans (Eugene)	100%
Frank Roberts (Portland)	100%
Bob Shoemaker (Portland)	100%
Tricia Smith (Salem)	100%
Dick Springer (Portland)	100%
Cliff Trow (Corvallis)	100%

### House

Lisa Naito (Portland)	92%
Kate Brown (Portland)	86%
Cynthia Wooten (Eugene)	86%
Nancy Peterson (Ashland)	83%
Gail Shibley (Portland)	83%
George Eighmey (Portland)	80%

## Dishonor Roll

### Senate

Len Hannon (Ashland)	0%
Rod Johnson (Roseburg)	10%
Wes Cooley (Hood River)	10%
Brady Adams (Grants Pass)	20%
John Lim (Gresham)	22%

### House

Eldon Johnson (Central Point)	0%
Denny Jones (Ontario)	0%
Dennis Luke (Bend)	0%
Bill Markham (Riddle)	0%
Bob Repine (Grants Pass)	0%
Charles Starr (Hillsboro)	0%
Veral Tarno (Coquille)	0%
John Watt (Medford)	0%
Ted Calouri (Beaverton)	7%
Bev Clarno (Bend)	7%
Bill Fisher (Roseburg)	7%
Delna Jones (Aloha)	7%
Fred Girod (Stayton)	7%
Cedric Hayden (Lyons)	7%
Patti Milne (Woodburn)	7%
Chuck Norris (Hermiston)	7%
Carolyn Oakley (Albany)	7%
Greg Walden (Hood River)	7%

## Overall Ratings

Senate Average	64%
Senate Democrats	91%
Senate Republicans	33%
House Average	35%
House Democrats	59%
House Republicans	14%

## 1993 Senate Votes

### 1. Recycling/Truth in Labeling

SB 857 set standards for products or packages labeled "recyclable" or "recycled", and required disclosure of the percentage of post-consumer content for some products. SB 857 passed the Senate by a vote of 22-7. Yes is the pro-environment vote. It did not come to a vote in the House.

### 2. Indoor Air Pollution

SB 760 would have implemented the recommendations of the state's Indoor Air Pollution Task Force by treating second-hand tobacco smoke as a workplace hazard, and restricting workplace smoking to designated rooms that are separately enclosed and separately ventilated. Restaurants, vehicles and home offices were exempt. SB 760 failed in the Senate by a vote of 15-15. Yes is the pro-environment vote. This bill did not come to a vote in the House.

## The Ratings Process

The OLCV Ratings committee reviewed dozens of floor votes before narrowing the list to the votes we've included here. The committee looked for votes that were a true test of a legislator's commitment to environmental protection.

The OLCV Board then made the final cut. The Board included what they felt were the most important environmental votes of the session. They also included votes from the broad spectrum of issues that concern Oregon environmentalists.

The Ratings show voters only a small part of the political maneuvering that goes on in the Legislature. Since we include only floor votes, the Ratings do not reflect the shaping of a bill that happens in House and Senate committees. The chair of the committee can decide whether or not a bill even gets a hearing. The OLCV Board takes both committee action and leadership into account as we make endorsement decisions in a campaign year.

Each legislator's rating is calculated by taking the percentage of pro-environment votes from the total number of votes cast.

### **3. Clean Air**

SB 86 authorized the Department of Environmental Quality to impose a fee system on air emissions which is consistent with the federal Clean Air Act. SB 86 passed the Senate by a vote of 22-8. YES is the pro-environment vote. Became Oregon law.

### **4. Transportation/ Congestion Pricing**

SB 536 was designed to provide state authorization to the Metro Congestion Pricing Pilot Project, part of a federal test of congestion pricing. Congestion pricing is the use of "time-of-day" tolling to reduce peak-hour traffic congestion, especially that caused by single-occupancy vehicles. SB 536 failed in the Senate by a vote of 13-17. Yes is the pro-environment vote.

### **5. Transit Funding**

SJR 2 would have referred a constitutional change to voters to open up the gas tax and other vehicle taxes for transportation projects that reduce traffic burden or pollution from motor vehicles. Currently those taxes, which bring in over \$300 million a year, can only be used for the building and maintenance of roads. This measure failed in the Senate by a vote of 15-14. Yes is the pro-environment vote.

### **6. North American Free Trade Agreement**

SJM 22 was a Memorial to the President and the Congress to fully assess the economic, environmental and legal impacts of the NAFTA before Congress is required to make a decision under the "fast-track" rules. A coalition including environmental and labor groups supported the memorial. It addressed the concerns of many environmentalists that NAFTA could encourage challenges to state environmental programs involving recycling and waste reduction, clean air, pesticide control and wildlife and natural resource protection. The Senate passed this memorial by a vote of 17-8. Yes is the pro-environment vote. The Memorial did not come up for a vote in the House.

### **7. Water Resources Budget**

HB 5026 was the budget for the Water

Resources Department. It did include a small increase in funding, but it was opposed by many Senators who wanted to send a message to the Water Resources Commission. The WRC had recommended a change concerning applications for new water permits that were in the backlog. The change would have required there to be additional water available 80% of the time, rather than 50% of the time for new water permits to be approved. The budget failed in the Senate by a vote of 15-14. The pro-environment vote was Yes. The bill was sent back to committee. The backlog issue was later dealt with administratively by the WRC. The budget was passed by both the Senate and the House.

### **8. Ground Water**

SB 90 gave the Water Resources Commission greater control over the use of ground water by giving them the authority to reduce the daily limit of ground water allowed for any new use in a designated region. SB 90 passed the Senate by a vote of 17-13. Yes is the pro-environment vote. It did not come to a vote in the House.

### **9. Land Conservation and Development Commission Budget**

SB 5514 was the budget for the LCDC. A vote for the LCDC budget is seen as a vote in support of land-use planning. Also during this session, the budget was held to the very end of the session to increase pressure on legislators to weaken our land use law. The Senate concurred with House amendments on the LCDC budget by a vote of 23-6. Yes is the pro-environment vote.

### **10. Environmental Crimes**

SB 912 establishes criminal sanctions for certain violations of environmental statutes. Currently under Oregon law, violations of the statutes that govern air pollution, water pollution and dumping are misdemeanors. SB 912 said that the most egregious cases could be charged with felony convictions and includes provisions for substantial fines and jail time. The Senate voted to concur with House amendments by a vote of 25-2. The pro-environment vote is Yes. Became Oregon law.

# 1993 Oregon Senate

Senators	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1993	1991	1989	1983-93
----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	------	------	------	---------

## Portland Metro

Ron Cease (D-Portland)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	90	94	97
Joyce Cohen (D-Lake Oswego)	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	A	+	100	100	100	100
Shirley Gold (D-Portland)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	89	100	96
Jeanette Hamby (R-Hillsboro)	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	60	78	60	67
Bill Kennemer (R-Milwaukie)	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	40	33	44	39
John Lim (R-Gresham)	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	+	+	22			22
Bill McCoy (D-Portland)	+	+	+	-	+	A	+	+	+	+	89	89	100	95
Paul Phillips (R-Tigard)	-	-	+	-	-	A	-	-	+	+	33	56	50	51
Frank Roberts (D-Portland)	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	100	100	97
Bob Shoemaker (D-Portland)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	89	100	96
Dick Springer (D-Portland)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	100	100	100

## Willamette Valley

Jim Bunn (R-McMinnville)	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	30	22	20	24
Stan Bunn (R-Newberg)	+	-	+	-	-	A	-	-	+	+	44	22	32	25
Bill Dwyer (D-Springfield)	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	A	78	33	83	65
Rod Johnson (R-Roseburg)	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	10	0		5
Grattan Kerans (D-Eugene)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	89	100	94
Bob Kintigh (R-Springfield)	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	50	11	50	33
Karsten Rasmussen (D-Eugene)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	80			80
Tricia Smith (D-Salem)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	100		100
Cliff Trow (D-Corvallis)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	100	100	100	96
Catherine Webber (D-Salem)	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	A	78			78
Mae Yih (D-Albany)	-	-	-	-	-	+	A	-	+	+	33	11	30	20

## Oregon Coast

Bill Bradbury (D-Bandon)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	89	100	94
Joan Dukes (D-Astoria)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100	75	89	88

## Southern Oregon

Brady Adams (R-Grants Pass)	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	20			20
Lenn Hannon (R-Ashland)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	10	5

## Central Oregon

Neil Bryant (R-Bend)	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	60			60
Wes Cooley (R-Powell Butte)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	10			10

## Eastern Oregon

Gordon Smith (R-Pendleton)	+	+	-	-	A	-	-	-	+	+	44			44
Eugene Timms (R-Burns)	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	40	11	0	13

Pro-environment vote = +

Anti-environment vote = -

Absence = A

Ineligible (appointed during the session) = i

# 1993 House Votes

## 1. Takings

HB 3087 is a "takings" bill. It would require all government entities in the state to compensate land owners whenever certain regulations decrease the value of that person's property by any amount. The regulations covered by the bill include those that affect forest, farming, and mining practices, natural and historic resources, and public access requirements. Both the federal and state constitutions already protect land owners from overly-burdensome regulations of private land. "Takings" legislation would result in natural resource agencies that could not afford to enforce environmental laws. HB 3087 passed in the House by a vote of 35-23. No is the pro-environment vote. It did not come to a vote in the Senate.

## 2. Land Use

HB 3661, as voted on by the House, would have essentially repealed Oregon's statewide planning program outside the Urban Growth Boundaries and replaced it with a more complicated, expensive planning process that would have opened up the state's most productive farm and forest land to residential sprawl. HB 3661 passed the House by a vote of 35-24. The pro-environment vote was No. The worst provisions of this bill were later weakened in the Senate. The bill was then passed by both houses and signed by the Governor.

## 3. Land Use

HB 2595 was an attempt to restrict citizen input in the land use process. The legislation added some unnecessary procedural steps that would favor developers over citizens. In addition, the bill would have raised the price citizens have to pay to appeal land-use decisions before the Land Use Board of Appeals from \$50 to \$750. This was simply a political attempt to price citizens out of their right to a day in court. This bill passed the House by a vote of 34-24. The pro-environment vote was No. It did not come to a vote in the Senate.

## 4. Land Conservation and Development Commission Budget

SB 5514 was the budget for the LCDC. A vote for the LCDC budget is seen as a vote in support of statewide land-use planning. Also during this session, the budget was held to the

very end of the session to increase pressure on legislators to weaken land use law through HB 3661 (see vote #6). The budget passed by a vote of 42-18. Yes is the pro-environment vote.

## 5. Land Use

HB 3383 would have weakened laws that allow cities to charge real estate developers for the additional roads, sewers, water treatment, and other environmental infrastructure that new development projects require. Developers want these charges to be borne by the cities. HB 3383 passed the House by a vote of 58-2. No is the pro-environment vote. It did not come to a vote in the Senate.

## 6. Transportation Funding

HJR 7 would have referred a proposal to voters to open up future increases in vehicle fees, except for gas tax increases, to be used for public transportation and programs to reduce air pollution. This vote was on a motion to send this measure back to committee instead. The motion to rerefer passed by a vote of 39-20. The pro-environment vote was NO. It never came out of the committee.

## 7. Indoor Air Pollution

HB 3066 would have prohibited smoking in all indoor public places frequented by children, including restaurants. HB 3066 failed in the House by a vote of 27-33. Yes is the pro-environment vote.

## 8. Stringency

HB 2662 would require the Department of Environmental Quality to submit a list of all rules adopted by the state that are stronger than federal rules. These rules would become inoperative unless approved by the Legislature. The House passed HB 2662 by a vote of 36-22. No is the pro-environment vote. The bill did not come to a vote in the Senate.

## 9. Endangered Species Act

HB 2927 would have weakened Oregon's Endangered Species Act (ESA) through a variety of procedural changes. There are currently approximately 30 species of wildlife classified as threatened or endangered by the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission and over 100 wildlife species are considered "at some risk." As the Oregonian editorialized on April 13, "Blaming species for the human activity that puts them at risk may be an enjoyable pastime, but it's no basis for sound laws." HB

2927 passed by a vote of 40-17. No is the pro-environment vote. This bill did not come to a vote in the Senate.

### **10. Endangered Species Act**

HJM 9 was a memorial to the President and Congress to amend the federal ESA to give equal consideration to human and economic impacts in making further additions to lists of threatened or endangered species. The only place that human and economic impacts are not already considered under the ESA is in the decision to list a species as threatened or endangered. That decision is based solely on the biological evidence. The House passed this memorial by a vote of 39-15. No is the pro-environment vote. This memorial did not come to a vote in the Senate.

### **11. Endangered Species Act**

HJM 29 was another memorial to Congress regarding the Endangered Species Act. It called for environmental legislation to be based on use of "sound verifiable science" rather than "best available science." This was aimed at discrediting spotted owl and marbled murrelet listings under the ESA. HJM 29 passed the House by a vote of 32-27. No is the pro-environment vote. It did not come to a vote in the Senate.

### **12. Department of Fish and Wildlife**

HB 2538 changed some wording in the mission statement for the OR Department of Fish and Wildlife that would have shifted the agency's balance away from wildlife protection

toward more consideration of the commercial uses of the land. This bill was supported by many legislators that are unhappy with the ODFW, and wanted to send it a message. HB 2538 passed the House by a vote of 45-12. No was the pro-environment vote. The Senate later amended the bill, making it fairly harmless. Became Oregon law.

### **13. Salt Caves Dam**

SB 126 would have curtailed the Department of Environmental Quality's authority to stop projects such as the Salt Caves Hydroelectric project on the Upper Klamath River. SB 126 passed the House on a last minute vote of 38-21. No is the pro-environment vote. The Senate refused to concur with the House, and the bill died in conference committee.

### **14. Energy**

HB 2197 would grant exemptions from the Construction Work In Progress (CWIP) prohibition passed by Oregon voters in 1978. The 1978 ballot measure said utilities could not charge for project "construction work in progress." Projects must be "used and useful" to be allowed in the rate base. The exemption would allow pre-construction costs for all energy facilities other than coal and nuclear to be passed on to rate payers, even if the project is never "used or useful." HB 2197 passed the House by a vote of 52-2. No is the pro-environment vote. It did not come to a vote in the Senate.



# House Votes 1993

Representative	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1993	1991	1989	1983-93
<b>Portland Metro</b>																		
Ron Adams (R-West Linn)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	43			43
Ken Baker (R-Oregon City)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	50			50
Tom Brian (R-Tigard)	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	31	20	68	40
Kate Brown (D-Portland)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	86			86
Mike Burton (D-Portland)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	79	90	88	76
Ted Calouri (R-Beaverton)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	22	61	43
Margaret Carter (D-Portland)	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	A	+	+	-	+	-	69	83	94	84
George Eighmey (D-Portland)	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	i	+	i	i	+	+	i	80			80
Mary Alice Ford (R-Portland)	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	30	47	42
Avel Gordly (D-Portland)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	71			71
Delna Jones (R-Aloha)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	20	56	28
Tom Mason (D-Portland)	+	A	A	-	-	+	-	+	+	A	+	A	-	A	56	71	88	75
Dave McTeague (D-Milwaukie)	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	71	100	83	91
John Meek (R-Hillsboro)	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	0		7
John Minnis (R-Wood Village)	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	15	22	26	22
Lisa Naito (D-Portland)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	A	92	100		96
Lonnie Roberts (D-Portland)	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	36	40	53	43
Gail Shibley (D-Portland)	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	A	83	100		92
Frank Shields (D-Portland)	A	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	77			77
Bob Shiprack (D-Beavercreek)	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	13	41	40
Larry Sowa (D-Oregon City)	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	64	80	81	81
Charles Starr (R-Hillsboro)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0			0
Bob Tiernan (R-Lake Oswego)	A	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	A	+	-	-	A	45			45
Sharon Wylie (D-Gresham)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	71			71

## Willamette Valley

Lee Beyer (D-Springfield)	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14			14
Larry Campbell (R-Eugene)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	21	10	26	18
Peter Courtney (D-Salem)	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	79	80	76	80
Marilyn Dell (D-McMinnville)	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	64			64
Gene Derfler (R-Salem)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	0	33	13
Sam Dominy (D-Cottage Grove)	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	30	74	42
Jim Edmunson (D-Eugene)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	79	75	69	74
Tony Federici (D-St. Helens)	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36			36
Bill Fisher (R-Roseburg)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7			7
Fred Girod (R-Stayton)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7			7
Cedric Hayden (R-Lyons)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	0	28	13
Carl Hosticka (D-Eugene)	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	-	77	90	100	93
Kevin Mannix (D-Salem)	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	43	67	88	66
Patti Milne (R-Woodburn)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7			7
Carolyn Oakley (R-Albany)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	13	21	14
John Schoon (R-Rickreall)	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	13	21	14
Tony Van Vliet (R-Corvallis)	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	29	20	61	39
Liz VanLeeuwen (R-Halsey)	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64	40	79	66
Cynthia Wooten (D-Eugene)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	14	10	33	18
															86			86

Pro-environment vote = +

Anti-environment vote = -

Absence = A

Ineligible (appointed during the session) = i

# House Votes 1993

Representative	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1993	1991	1989	1983-93	
<b>Oregon Coast</b>																			
Tim Josi (D-Bay City)	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	A	A	+	-	-	A	36	10		23	
Hedy Rijken (D-Newport)	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	43	70	61	58	
Veral Tarno (R-Coquille)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0			0	
Jim Whitty (D-Coos Bay)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	A	-	+	-	-	-	15	20	53	39	
<b>Southern Oregon</b>																			
Eldon Johnson (R-Central Point)	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	44	15	
Bill Markham (R-Riddle)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	11	11	
Del Parks (R-Klamath Falls)	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	14	11		13	
Nancy Peterson (D-Ashland)	+	+	+	+	-	A	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	-	83		89	89	
Bob Repine (R-Grants Pass)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	20	37	19	
John Watt (R-Medford)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	20		10	
<b>Central Oregon</b>																			
Beverly Clarno (R-Bend)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	0	37	15	
Dennis Luke (R-Bend)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0			0	
Greg Walden (R-Hood River)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	10	42	20	
<b>Eastern Oregon</b>																			
Ray Baum (R-LaGrande)	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	0	21	12	
Denny Jones (R-Ontario)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	10	17	11	
Chuck Norris (R-Hermiston)	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	10	53	29	
Michael Payne (D-Baker City)	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	14			14	

Pro-environment vote = +  
 Anti-environment vote = -  
 Absence = A  
 Ineligible (appointed during the session) = i

District	Representative	Address
37	Liz VanLeeuwen	27070 Irish Bend Lp., Halsey, Oregon 97348
38	Patti Milne	PO Box 627, Woodburn, Oregon 97071
39	Jim Edmunson	1460 Oak Dr., Eugene, Oregon 97404
40	Carl Hosticka	PO Box 3236, Eugene, Oregon 97403
41	Cynthia Wooten	2310 Tabor St., Eugene, Oregon 97401
42	Lee Beyer	1439 Lawnridge Ave., Springfield, Oregon 97477
43	Larry Campbell	269 State Capitol, Salem, Oregon 97310
44	Sam Dominy	43 N "K" St., Cottage Grove, Oregon 97424
45	Bill Fisher	268 Akin Ln., Roseburg, Oregon 97470
46	Bill Markham	PO Box 300, Riddle, Oregon 97469
47	Jim Whitty	1440 Shore Pines Ct., Coos Bay, Oregon 97420
48	Veral Tarno	310 E First St., Coquille, Oregon 97423
49	Bob Repine	PO Box 1195, Grants Pass, Oregon 97526
50	John Watt	PO Box 4661, Medford, Oregon 97504
51	Eldon Johnson	3650 Ross Ln., Central Point, Oregon 97502
52	Nancy Peterson	367 Maple St., Ashland, Oregon 97520
53	Del Parks	228 N 7th St., Klamath Falls, Oregon 97601
54	Dennis Luke	PO Box 9069, Bend, Oregon 97708
55	Beverly Clarno	25249 Dodds Rd., Bend, Oregon 97701
56	Greg Walden	H295 State Capitol, Salem, Oregon 97310
57	Charles Norris	PO Box 121, Hermiston, Oregon 97838
58	Ray Baum	PO Box 2902, LaGrande, Oregon 97850
59	Michael Payne	PO Box 5, Baker City, Oregon 97814
60	Denny Jones	1461 NW Third Ave., Ontario, Oregon 97914

## Governor

Governor Barbara Roberts 254 State Capitol, Salem, Oregon 97310  
378-3111

## US Congress

Senator Mark Hatfield 711 Hart SOB, Washington, DC 20510  
202-224-3753

Suite 1420, One World Trade Center,  
121 SW Salmon St., Portland, OR 97204  
326-3386

727 Center St. NE, #305, Salem, OR 97301  
588-9510

Senator Bob Packwood 259 Russell SOB, Washington, DC 20510  
202-224-5244

Suite 240, 101 SW Main St., Portland, OR 97204  
326-3370

- 
1. Rep. Elizabeth Furse  
316 Cannon HOB, Washington, DC 20515  
202-225-0855 Fax 202-225-9497  
  
Montgomery Park, # 860, 2701 NW Vaughn St.  
Portland, OR 97210  
326-2901 Fax 326-5066
  2. Rep. Bob Smith  
108 Cannon HOB, Washington, DC 20515  
1-800-533-3303  
  
Suite E, 259 Barnett Rd., Medford, OR 97501  
776-4646  
  
771 Ponderosa Village, Burns, OR 97720
  3. Rep. Ron Wyden  
1111 Longworth HOB, Washington, DC 20515  
202-225-4811  
  
Suite 250, Lloyd 500 Bldg., 500 NE Multnomah St.  
Portland, OR 97232  
231-2300
  4. Rep. Peter DeFazio  
1233 Longworth HOB, Washington, DC 20515  
1-800-944-9603  
  
211 E 7th Ave., Eugene, OR 97401  
465-6732  
  
215 So. 2nd St., Coos Bay, OR 97420  
269-2609  
  
Rm. 9, 612 SE Jackson St., Roseburg, OR 97470  
440-3523
  5. Rep. Mike Kopetski  
218 Cannon HOB, Washington, DC 20515  
1-800-548-7179 Fax 202-225-9477  
  
Suite 340, 530 Center St., NE, Salem, OR 97301  
588-9100 Fax 588-0963  
  
615 High St., Oregon City, OR 97045  
650-1273

The picture to the right is of the field staff of the Oregon League of Conservation Voters. It is our staff of organizers/canvassers that bring the realities of politics and the environment home to Oregonians, while raising a large part of the funds that make our work possible.

Some of our organizers have been with OLCV ever since we began to canvass in 1986. They have come to us from such varying backgrounds as education, the law, the computer industry, small business, and the docks in Vancouver. Their backgrounds, their interests, their plans and aspirations are as diverse as can be. The one thing they all have in common is a deep and constant commitment to the environment and our quality of life here in Oregon.

Pictured on the top row from left to right are Matthew Hoffman, David Losh, John Odum, and Bill Bold. In the middle are Don Greable, David Sutton, Bob Lamb, Richard Languirand, Eric Signell, and John Henry Shuker, with Gerry LaPointe below. Not pictured here are Vito Zdanys our Canvass Manager, Jim Benjamin and Mark Walters in Portland and Richard Gillis and James Musumeci in Eugene.

When you see our people at your door, please



remember that it is the hard work of grassroots voter education, organizing and fundraising that makes our work to elect pro-environment candidates in Oregon possible.

The Oregon League of Conservation Voters is a non-profit, non-partisan statewide political action committee registered with the Oregon Secretary of State (#000166) and the Federal Elections Commission (#C00035154).

OLCV is dedicated to the election of candidates for public office who support conservation policies and programs.

The OLCV Board of Directors is composed of Oregonians involved in the major conservation and environmental issues in the state, including clean air and water, wilderness and wildlife protection, energy conservation, land-use, and more.

Every two years, OLCV publishes ratings of the performance of state legislators based on their votes on environmental legislation. In

addition, OLCV publishes various reports on the performance of other elected officials, including the Portland City Council, the Governor, and comparisons of candidates running against each other in some races.

OLCV provides assistance to environmental candidates by publicizing campaign endorsements, providing paid staff for candidates, providing volunteer electoral assistance, and making direct contributions to candidates.

OLCV's grassroots canvass makes direct contact with thousands of voters throughout Oregon to gain support for environmental candidates and to hold them accountable once they are elected.

OLCV welcomes your support and involvement!



## Oregon League of Conservation Voters

520 S.W. 6th Avenue, Suite 701 • Portland, Oregon 97204  
Telephone (503) 224-4011 • FAX (503) 224-1548

How can you help to make sure we get better scores from the Legislature in the next session?

## Support the Oregon League of Conservation Voters today!

The Oregon League of Conservation Voters is the political arm of the environmental movement in Oregon. We work to elect pro-environment candidates and to defeat those that vote for weaker environmental laws.

If you don't like what you see coming out of our Legislature, then it's time for you to do something about it. Support the Oregon League of Conservation Voters today to send an Environmental Majority to Salem.

### Oregon can do better!

We can't afford to let the Legislature chip away at our environmental laws. To help elect an Environmental Majority in Salem, I am enclosing my donation of:

\$25     \$35     \$50     \$100     \$250     \$500     \$\_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Election law requires that we request the following information from contributors of over \$50.

Occupation \_\_\_\_\_ Employer \_\_\_\_\_

Check amount \_\_\_\_\_ Check date \_\_\_\_\_ Check No. \_\_\_\_\_

Field representative \_\_\_\_\_

Please make your check payable to the Oregon League of Conservation Voters, or OLCV. Since your donation is used to elect pro-environment candidates, it is not tax deductible. It is eligible for the political tax credit on your Oregon taxes.



### Oregon League of Conservation Voters

520 S.W. 6th Avenue, Suite 701  
Portland, Oregon 97204

Bulk Rate  
U.S. Postage  
**PAID**  
Portland., OR  
Permit No. 1440